UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
NICHOLAS MONDELO, :	INDEX NO. 1:21-cv-02512 (CM)
Plaintiff,	
-against-	USDC SDNY
QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, and DAVID ESKANOS,	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
Defendants.	DATE FILED: 411 Jarr

CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

(For all cases except those exempt per Individual Rules)

McMahon, C.J.:

- 1. This case is to be tried to a jury.
- 2. Discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) shall be exchanged by April 12, 2022.
- 3. No additional parties may be joined after April 12, 2022.
- 4. No pleading may be amended after **April 29, 2022**.
- 5. Section 1983 Cases. If your case is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, counsel representing any defendant who intends to claim qualified immunity must comply with the special procedure set forth in the Individual Rules, which can be found at http://nysd.uscourts.gov/judge/Mcmahon. Failure to proceed in accordance with the qualified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of the right to move for judgment on the ground of qualified immunity prior to trial.
- 6. All discovery, including expert discovery, must be completed on or before **November 21**, **2022**.
 - a. For personal injury, civil rights, employment discrimination or medical malpractice cases only: Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and shall be completed by <u>July 22, 2022</u>.
 - b. **PLEASE NOTE**: the phrase "all discovery, including expert discovery" means that the parties must select and disclose their experts' identities and opinions, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the expiration of the

discovery period. Expert disclosures conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the following dates:

- i. Plaintiff(s) expert report(s) by October 6, 2022;
- ii. Defendant(s) expert report(s) by October 27, 2022.
- 7. Judge McMahon's rules governing electronic discovery are automatically in force in this case and can be found at http://nysd.uscourts.gov/judge/Mcmahon. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supersede it with a consent order.
- 8. Discovery disputes in this case will be resolved by the assigned Magistrate Judge, who is Barbara C. Moses.
 - a. The first time there is a discovery dispute that counsel cannot resolve on their own, file a letter to Chambers via ECF and ask for an order of reference to the Magistrate Judge for discovery supervision. Thereafter, go directly to the Magistrate Judge for resolution of discovery disputes; do not contact Judge McMahon.
 - b. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the discovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in non-pro se cases.
 - c. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so counsel are warned that it they wait until the last minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.
- 9. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
- 10. A joint pretrial order in the form prescribed in Judge McMahon's Individual Rules, together with all other pretrial submissions required by those rules (not including *in limine* motions), shall be submitted on or before <u>January 6, 2023</u>.
 - a. Following submission of the joint pretrial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pretrial conference.
 - b. In limine motions must be filed within **five days** of receiving notice of the final pretrial conference; responses to in limine motions are due **five days** after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pretrial conference.
 - c. For bench trials, see Judge McMahon's special rules for bench trials in the Individual Rules.

- 11. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pretrial order is due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pretrial order and other pretrial submissions on the assigned date.
- 12. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause that is not foreseeable at the time this order is entered. Counsel should not assume that extensions will be granted as a matter of routine.

Dated: April 6, 2022

New York, New York

Upon consent of the parties:

JOSEPH & KIRSCHENBAUM LLP

KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP

By: /s/ Lucas C. Buzzard
D. Maimon Kirschenbaum
Lucas C. Buzzard
32 Broadway
Suite 601

New York, NY 10004 Tel: (212) 688-5640 Fax: (212) 688-2548

Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: /s/ Mark W. Lerner

Mark W. Lerner Joshua D. Fulop 1633 Broadway

New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 506-1700 Facsimile: (212) 506-1800 Mlerner@kasowitz.com Jfulop@kasowitz.com

Attorneys for Defendant

(ble hi

Hon. Colleen McMahon Chief U.S. District Judge 4-6-2022